

# Comments for Planning Application 161189/DPP

## Application Summary

Application Number: 161189/DPP

Address: 455 George Street Aberdeen AB25 3YB

Proposal: Demolition of existing building and erection of student accommodation building, parking and landscaping.

Case Officer: Andrew Miller

## Customer Details

Name: Mr Stephen Stewart

Address: Not Available

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: Staying 10 feet away from this dwelling and although one level has been removed this makes no serious impact to protect the wellbeing of the neighbours including myself that will be affected, these are;

Natural light - with the building being so close to my and other flats that are below the level of this development, this will affect all and as my two main living areas; living room and bedroom will be affected by this.

Noise / Dust pollution - being over looked by so many individuals encroaches on privacy and again as my two main living areas are facing this I will be affected where I would not be able to keep my windows open as I require / necessary for natural ventilation and this in turn will increase on the cleanliness required in and out the flat. Whilst drilling for testing on the site not only was the noise / work heard but felt within the flat - this will be the case for those in the same closeness and those closer to the development than 53 Fraser Court.

Privacy - with there being over 100, new / additional window covering will have to be bought to ensure I am not being overlooked.

Communal Area - The drying green / dog walking and play area for children will be affected with the noise in developing and once erected.

Excess Type of Accommodation in the area - On initial checking with current and new developments, none of the current types of dwellings of this nature are not maximised and spaces

are available therefore for an additional development of this nature would exceed the current numbers of bodies requiring / using.

Parking - It is advised that the new proposed tenants will not have access to parking, however this is not a guarantee they will not use residents parking that is provided by Langstane.

In summary accusation both from the developers and officials was and possibly that residents and others were discriminating against Students - this is untrue and especially from me - if need Students then must be accommodated, however facts prove Student accommodation available currently and new developments exceed the need for more.

Lack of consideration to fixed / permanent tenants is being ignored especially with the proposed plans being so close to the border of houses that is currently erect.

With the previous plans being rejected in majority by Councillors - the only change is one floor which in my opinion is not sufficient to approve.